Current Support Brief

FURTHER SLOWDOWN IN SOVIET INDUSTRIAL GROWTH IN THE LAST QUARTER OF 1963



CIA/RR CB 64-20

March 1964

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY Office of Research and Reports

SECRET

GROUP I Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification

WARNING

This material contains information affecting the National Defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18, USC, Secs. 793 and 794, the transmission or revelation of which in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

FURTHER SLOWDOWN IN SOVIET INDUSTRIAL GROWTH IN THE LAST QUARTER OF 1963

Preliminary plan fulfillment results reveal that Soviet industry advanced at an exceptionally low rate in the last quarter of 1963. Because of the poor fourth quarter, civilian industrial production -- which had dropped from an annual rate of growth of 9 to 10 percent in the late 1950's to 6.5 to 7 percent in the 3 years 1960-62 -- may have grown considerably less than 7 percent for the year as a whole.* Rates of growth in 1962-63 for the three major components of civilian industrial production are given in Table 1, and rates of growth for 56 important commodity groups are given in Table 2.

Table 1

USSR: Rates of Growth for Major Components of Civilian Industrial Production <u>a</u>/

Percentage Change from Corresponding Period of Previous Year

	Full Year		Half-Year		Quarter-Year	
	1962	1963	Jan-Jun	<u>Jul-Dec</u>	<u>Jul-Sep</u>	Oct-Dec
Industrial materials Civilian machinery Consumer mondurable	7.0 9.7	5.9 8.2	6.8 8.7	4.4 7.0	6.3 8.5	2.4 5·3
goods	5.7	4.1	4.1	4.2	5.7	3.3

a. Explanatory notes for Table 1 are given in Appendix A.

^{*} The percentages for years before 1963 are derived from the ORR index of Soviet civilian industrial production. The calculations for 1963 are tentative because of the incompleteness of the list of commodities included. See CIA/RR ER 63-29, Index of Civilian Industrial Production in the USSR, September 1963 (UNCLASSIFIED).

A number of developments account for this slowdown in industrial growth. As expected, last year's agricultural reverses held down the production of consumer nondurable goods. In addition, certain of the following possible developments also could have had a detrimental effect: an accelerated retirement of obsolete capital equipment, the changeover of plants to support the new chemical program, altered production schedules for military end products, the technological problems of mastering the more complex processing techniques in newly completed plants, and local shortages of labor.

1. Background

At the end of the first half of 1963 the general expectation was that growth of civilian industrial production for the entire year would be at about the same level as that of the previous 3 years.* The estimates in Table 1 for the first half of 1963 indicate growth at about that level. Production data recently published for the full year, however, indicate a perceptible reduction in growth for the year as a whole, a reduction largely attributable to the low rate of growth in the fourth quarter. Because of the shortness of the time period and the transitory and speculative nature of several of the possible alternative explanations for the decline, it is too early to judge whether these lower rates of growth will persist into 1964.

2. Details of the Decline in Growth

Although the slowdown in growth in the fourth quarter of 1963 was common to industrial materials, civilian machinery, and consumer non-durable goods, there were notable divergencies within each group in the behavior of separate commodity categories.

Among the industrial materials, there were moderate to sharp downturns in rates of growth for forest products, construction materials, rolled metal, and electric power. The slowdown in the growth of production of electric power in the last quarter of the year apparently was due

^{*} In mid-1963, there were indications of a possible slowdown in the rate of expansion, but both Soviet and Western sources suggested that these indications probably were a result of the severe weather conditions in the early months of 1963, which were not expected to affect greatly the results for the entire year (see Appendix A).

to a decline in the rate of increase of demand rather than to the effects of drought or a shortage of generating capacity.

Among the categories of civilian machinery for which data are available, there were lower absolute levels of production for metal-lurgical and oil equipment, turbines, forging press machines, and sewing machines for the second half as a whole*; for the fourth quarter, production was lower than in the same quarter in 1962 for electric locomotives, looms, and excavators.** Perhaps as a reflection of current priorities in the USSR, output of agricultural equipment and consumer durables expanded at steady high rates throughout the year. ***

Production trends in consumer nondurable goods industries depend heavily on events in agriculture. Recent developments in farm output could explain most of the significant changes in production trends. The most surprising result in production of processed foods was the absence of a large increase in production of meat in the last half of 1963 above the previous year. The reduction of 42 percent in inventories of hogs because of a lack of feedstuffs would have suggested a sharp upturn in output of meat. Apparently the light weight of the young animals removed from inventories under the distress slaughtering campaign kept the increase in total output of meat relatively low. The sharp decrease in output of dairy products indicates a shortage of feed supplies other than grain, such as silage and hay. In the USSR, cows are fed only small amounts of grain, and a decrease in milk yields reflects a significant decline in nongrain feeds.

^{*} Production data for metallurgical and oil equipment and for sewing machines are available for 6-month periods only; absolute levels of output for these categories of machinery also were lower in the first half of 1963 compared with the last half of 1962.

^{**} In addition, output in physical units of trucks, automobiles, and buses was off 1.0 percent from the fourth quarter of 1962. Because of the Soviet practice of rounding output indicators (physical units or ruble values of output), however, and because of a possible undetected revision of quarterly production data for the preceding year, an error of 2 percentage points either way may be introduced in the output of any one commodity. Major revisions of quarterly or semiannual data for 1962 could be detected and adjustments were made.

^{***} The lack of consumer demand for sewing machines (at least for the quality of machine that is produced currently) probably explains the drastic decline in this level of production. An unknown proportion of output of sewing machines is for industrial uses.

3. Alternative Explanations of the Decline in Growth

Other plausible explanations for the slowdown in rates of growth for major sectors of industry in the last quarter of 1963 are as follows:

- a. There may have been a slowdown in the rate of increase in net capital formation for 1963. Gross additions to industrial capacity in 1963 were about the same as in recent years -- 12 to 13 percent -- but a possible increase in the proportion of capital stock retired could have lowered the net increase in the stock of plant and equipment.*
- b. There may have been a disruption of production flows from facilities already in use. This effect on output could have been more than normal because of two events. First, commissioning of new capacity, a high proportion of which is normally achieved through expansion of reequipping of existing facilities, was particularly heavy during the last half of the year. Second, the possible conscription of plants to aid in the expansion of industries supporting the new chemical program may have caused a dropoff in production because of temporary shutdowns of at least part of their facilities.** There could have been a conscious decision to hold down the production of certain consumer goods so that the chemical program could be supported.
- c. The longstanding problem of bringing production from new plant and equipment up to rated capacity may have become worse during 1963. Soviet planners, designers, and engineers have had increasing difficulties in recent years in bringing output in the more complex processes embodied in newly completed facilities up to expected levels of output.
- d. Substantial changes in production schedules of various military and space programs could cause short-run disruptions in production

^{*} The USSR has been able to maintain annual increments to productive capital stock in industry of 12 to 13 percent per year in spite of a sharp downturn in the rates of increase in new fixed investment. This has been accomplished in part by foregoing the retirement of old plant and equipment and in part by drawing on the backlog of unfinished projects.

** The partial or complete conversion of machine building plants from one product line to another. See CIA/RR CB 64-8, Soviet Conscription of Plants to Produce Chemical Equipment, January 1964 (SECRET), for evidence of planned future conscription of machine building plants to produce chemical equipment.

trends. Although there is no evidence that industrial activity related to the defense effort has leveled off or been reduced in absolute size, such a possibility exists. Large-scale diversion of plant capacity to support civilian programs could cause short-run slowdowns of expansion or contraction in such supporting industries as electric power.

- e. Soviet planners during the past year have attempted to expand production by increasing the number of shifts of machine building plants. Because of a possible shortage of labor, especially of certain skills, the extra shifts may not have been implemented. The over-all increase in the industrial labor force -- in absolute numbers -- was about the same for 1962 and 1963.
- f. Rates of growth in the last quarter of 1963 could have been low either because growth in the last quarter of 1962 was abnormally high or because weather conditions in the last quarter of 1963 were unique. These possibilities are discussed -- and dismissed -- in Appendix B.

The above list, incomplete as it is, appears to cover the more plausible explanations for the deceleration in the fourth quarter of 1963. The impact of each of the above factors on levels of output could be mitigated by the passing of time, with the important exception of a possible secular rise in the rate of retirement of industrial capital stock. This latter factor could be overcome only by a significant increase in new fixed investment for industry.

Approved For Release 2000/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4 $_{\rm S-E-C-R-E-T}$

USSR: Rates of Growth in Production of Industrial Commodities $\underline{a}/*$ 1962-63

Percentage Change from Corresponding Period of Previous Year Periods in 1963 Full Full Half-Year Quarter-Year Year Year Commodity 1962 1963 Jul-Dec Jan-Jun Jul-Sep Oct-Dec Industrial materials Metals Usable iron ore 8.9 6.9 7.9 6.1 9.1 3.0 Pig iron 8.6 6.1 5.9 6.4 8.0 4.9 7.8 Crude steel 5.1 5.3 4.9 4.6 5.3 Rolled steel 7.4 5.2 6.5 4.0 4.1 3.9 Steel pipe 17.3 10.1 12.9 7.5 8.6 Fuels and power Electric power 12.7 11.6 13.3 9.9 N.A.N.A.Of which: Central supply b/ 13.1 12.3 13.9 10.8 17.8 4.7 1.3 2.8 1.6 4.1 3.8 4.7 Petroleum, crude 12.1 10.6 10.9 10.4 11.1 9.8 Gas 23.6 21.7 21.9 21.4 23.5 19.8 Chemicals Sulfuric acid 7.0 12.0 12.0 12.2 12.7 11.8 Caustic soda 7.1 9.2 9.0 9.3 11.9 6.9 Soda ash 10.3 9.0 9.1 8.9 8.9 8.9 Mineral fertilizers 12.7 15.0 13.3 16.7 14.3 18.8 Artificial and synthetic fibers 10.7 11.1 11.0 11.1 13.6 8.9 Automobile tires 9.7 8.0 7.8 9.4 9.6 9.3

^{*} Footnotes follow on p. 9.

Approved For Release 2000/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4 $_{\rm S-E-C-R-E-T}$

Table 2

USSR: Rates of Growth in Production of Industrial Commodities 1962-63 (Continued)

Percentage	Change	from Co	rrespondi	ng Period	l of Previ	ous Year
				Periods	in 1963	····
	Full	Full	Half-	Year	Quarte	er-Year
Commodity	Year 1962	Year 1963	Jan-Jun	Jul-Dec	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec
Construction materials						
Cement Precast, reinforced structurals (con-	12.7	6.0	10.0	3.2	6.6	0.0
crete) Window glass Asbestos cement	17.5 8.2	8.0 2.1	9.0 4.0	5.9 0.3	8.5 -5.9	3·4 5·3
shingles	9.5	3.0	5.6	-1.1	0.0	-2.2
Forest products						
Commercial timber haulage Paper Furniture <u>c</u> /	3.8 6.7 15.4	4.0 4.0 10.0	6.2 2.9 10.4	1.8 6.8 9.6	5•9 2•9 12•5	-2.0 10.3 6.7
Civilian machinery						
Producer durables						
Chemical equipment <u>c</u> / Metallurgical equip-	9•5	9.0	8.0	9.6	12.3	7.1
ment Oil equipment Agricultural equip-	12.2 13.5	-1.7 -5.1	-0.1 -0.5	-3.4 -10.1	N.A. N.A.	N.A. N.A.
ment <u>c</u> / Instruments <u>c</u> / Trucks, buses and	21.2 15.4	17.4 13.0	17.0 16.0	17•7 7•4	17.1 13.2	18.4
autos Tractors	4.0 8.9	1.6 13.2	2.1 16.9	1.2 9.9	3.4 10.8	-1.0 9.1
Metal cutting machine	<i>(</i> –	a 1	١ -	- 0		, /

6.7 3.4 4.2 2.8

0.9

4.6

tools

Approved For Release 2000/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4 $$^{\mathrm{S-E-C-R-E-T}}$$

Table 2

USSR: Rates of Growth in Production of Industrial Commodities 1962-63 (Continued)

Percentage (Change	from Co	rrespondi	ing Period	d of Previ	ous Year
			Periods in 1963			
	Ful.1	Full	Half-Year		Quarter-Year	
Commodity	Year 1962	Year 1963	Jan-Jun	Jul-Dec	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec
Civilian machinery						
Producer durables (Continued)						
Turbines (kilowatt-						
hours)	11.2	- 0.2	10.9	-9.4	3.7	- 18.9
Generators for turbines	16.6	0 0	0 ((
Electric motors	12.6	8.2	9.6 8.8	6.9	N.A.	N.A.
Diesel locomotives	1.9	9.0 2.3	-4.5	10.3 8.9	11.3	9.4
Electric locomotives	10.8	4.2	7.4	1.3	5•8 5•8	12.1
Weaving looms	21.2	5.2	11.5	0.9	5.1	-3.1 -7.0
Excavators	6.9	4.0	6.0	2.1	7•± 7•1	- 7.0
Forging press machines	9.2	1.5	6.2	-2.9	0.0	-5·7
Consumer durables						
Television sets	11.2	14.0	10.0	17.1	19.2	15.3
Washing machines	39.8	28.0	27.8	28.2	26.9	29.4
Refrigerators	22.0	8.7	11.0	6.6	6.í	7.2
Radios and radio						,
phonographs	0.5	12.9	0.9	22.7	30.0	16.7
Motorcycles and motor						
scooters	5.6	4.2	5•3	3.1	4.5	1.7
Bicycles and motor						
bikes	10.1	7.0	7.0	9•9	14.3	6.3
Clocks	0.0	3.9	5.0	2.2	1.5	2.9
Sewing machines	1.5	-22.2	- 15.0	- 29.0	$N \cdot A \cdot$	$N \cdot A \cdot$

Approved For Release 2000/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4 $S_{-E-C-R-E-T}$

Table 2

USSR: Rates of Growth in Production of Industrial Commodities 1962-63 (Continued)

Percentage Change from Corresponding Period of Previous Year

			Periods in 1963			
	Full	Full	Half-	Year		r-Year
Commodity	Year 1962	Year 1963	Jan-Jun	<u>Jul-Dec</u>	Jul-Sep	Oct-Dec
Consumer nondurable goods						
Based on agricultural raw materials						
Cotton fabrics Woolen fabrics Linen fabrics Silk fabrics Leather footwear Meat d/ Milk products d/ Butter d/ Vegetable oil d/ Canned goods	0.8 3.2 -1.7 15.3 3.0 13.1 2.2 6.3 17.6 6.6	3.2 0.4 5.0 1.8 1.5 13.0 2.2 -7.0 5.0	0.4 21.4 2.1 -6.9	7.8 2.5 6.5 -4.1 2.5 8.8 2.0 0.0 6.8	8.3 2.5 9.4 -3.4 2.7 16.7 4.0 -2.7 0.0	8.3 2.5 4.1 -4.7 2.3 4.5 0.0 -18.3 0.0 6.7

a. Unless otherwise indicated, both annual and quarterly rates of growth are computed from output data expressed in physical units. The rates of increase by half-years and quarters for 1963 reflect changes in absolute levels of output in 1963 compared with absolute levels of output within the corresponding period in 1962. Because the published data for periods within a given year are rounded (in physical units or ruble values of output) and because of the possible undetected revision of quarterly production data for the preceding year, an error of plus or minus 2 percentage points may be introduced in the output of any one commodity.

Output data (expressed as absolute quantities) are published quarterly during the year. Significant official revisions of the quarterly production data for 1962 could be detected because of the publication during 1963 of quarterly performance data. Thus the cumulative measures of absolute output published for the four quarters of 1963 were related to the cumulative production of 1962 by means of index numbers. If a comparison of cumulative indexes of growth indicated that revisions had been made in the official data, the data on absolute levels of output, originally published in 1962, were adjusted.

Approved For Release 2000/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4 $$^{\rm S-E-C-R-E-T}$$

Table 2

USSR: Rates of Growth in Production of Industrial Commodities 1962-63 (Continued)

The rate of increase in output for the year 1962 compared with 1961 was computed from unrounded production data appearing in the statistical yearbooks. The rate of increase in the full year 1963 was computed from production data (rounded) published in the announcement of plan fulfillment (Pravda, 24 January 1964) and the unrounded data appearing in the annual yearbook (Narodnoye khozyaystvo v 1962 g.). These rates of change were computed to the nearest tenth of one percent. If on rounding these percentage rates of change for the full year 1963 to the nearest full percentage point, it was found that the increases did not correspond to rates of growth recently published in the announcement of plan fulfillment (expressed in full percentage points) the computed percentage was rejected and the published percentage increase (rounded) was used. This "rounding" procedure for the full year 1963 was found to be necessary for 17 of the 62 commodity categories used in constructing the indexes in Table 1.

- b. The electric power industry (central supply) produces 95 percent of the urban supply of electricity. The remaining 5 percent of the supply in urban areas is generated by small subsidiary plants attached to individual enterprises. In addition, about 5 percent of total production of electricity is produced by small generating stations in rural areas, mostly in agricultural enterprises.
- c. Percentage change computed from output expressed in rubles.
- d. Excluding production of collective farms and individual households.

APPENDIX A

1. Notes to Table 1

- a. The rates of growth indicated in Table 1 show the change in output for each period in 1963 compared with production within the same period of the previous year. For example, the 6.3-percent increase in industrial materials in the third quarter of 1963 is a rate of growth reflecting change in output for that quarter compared with the absolute level of output in the third quarter of 1962.
- b. The following commodities are excluded from the rates of growth for the third and fourth quarters (data available only for semiannual periods):

Civilian machinery -- metallurgical and oil equipment, generators for turbines, and sewing machines.

Consumer goods -- confectionary products and knitted wear.

c. The sample of commodities included in Table 1 cover the following percentages of the total value of output (final sales) of commodities included in the ORR civilian industrial index -- industrial materials, 66 percent; civilian machinery, 78 percent; and consumer goods, 71 percent. These are shares of the total commodity coverage, often for broad categories, such as coal, and do not reflect changes in the relative shares of output within a commodity group, such as for bituminous and lignite.

The rates of growth for 1962 over 1961, derived by use of the limited sample of commodities used in Table 1, can be compared with rates of growth computed from the ORR indexes, which have more extensive coverage, as follows:

	1962_over	r 1961 <u> </u>
	ORR Index	Table 1
Industrial materials Civilian machinery	6.5 8.7	7.0 9.7
Consumer nondurable goods	5.7	5.7

d. Output indicators are shown in Table 2 for most of the commodities included in Table 1.

2. Element of Seasonality in Soviet Industrial Growth

The analysis in this publication of trends of industrial output over the past 2 years has been based -- appropriately -- on a comparison of levels of output for the same period of time in the 2 years under review. An alternative method is to compare rates of change in output for a given period of time over the preceding period -- for example, change in absolute levels of output in the last 6 months of 1963 compared with output in the first 6 months of 1963. The "same period" approach is more appropriate for the present analysis because it eliminates, to a certain extent, the seasonal effect on production. As indicated in Table 3, the strongest seasonal element in Soviet industrial production is -- as would be expected -in changes in the levels of output of processed foods. But an element of seasonality also is present for industrial materials and civilian machinery. This tends to result (in any given year) in a predominate share of growth in industrial materials in the first half of a year -- but in civilian machinery, in the last 6 months of a year. As noted on p. 2, above, there were indications of a possible slowdown in the rate of expansion in the first half of 1963, possibly caused in part by the severe weather in early 1963 and because output of civilian machinery did not maintain its usual seasonal pace in the first half of 1963 (see Table 3, below). But because the expansion of industrial materials required to support the machine building sector appeared to be holding up well for the first 6 months of 1963, it was believed that output of civilian machinery during the last 6 months would result in an over-all annual rate of increase comparable to that of recent years.

Table 3 gives the average rates of change by 6-month period compared with the previous 6-month period for the years 1959-62 and presents a comparison with the performance for the same period of 1963.

Approved For Release 2000/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4 $_{\rm S-E-C-R-E-T}$

Table 3

Semiannual Rates of Growth of Soviet Output for Three Major Components of Industrial Output

			Percen	tage Change
	First 6 Montover Last 6 Preceding	Months of	Last 6 Mont over First Same	6 Months of
Industrial Category	1959 - 62 Average	1963	1959 - 62 Average	<u> 1963</u>
Processed foods	-34.2	-20.2	52.6	34.1
Industrial materials	5.9	6.6	0.6	-1.0
Civilian machinery	3 . 6	1.8	7.0	5.4

Approved For Release 2000/04/17 ይርቷልር የሚያቸው 1003A001900150001-4

APPENDIX B

It is possible that the low rates of growth for the three major components of civilian industrial production in the fourth quarter of 1963 were the result of relating a recent level of absolute production to a base -- same period of time in 1962 -- which, in turn, reflected an abnormally high rate of increase. For example, the annual rate of growth in 1962 in the production of rolled steel (7.4 percent above that of 1961) possibly obscures wide fluctuations in the quarterly rates of growth during the year. Thus the rate of increase for the fourth quarter of 1962 may have been much above the annual average or, alternatively, higher in relation to the annual average than usual. Deriving a rate of increase in the fourth quarter of 1963 by relating absolute levels of output for the same quarter in 1962 and 1963, therefore, possibly could lead to spurious results.

An examination of the data on rates of growth in output for 1962 compared with 1961 (both annually and quarterly) leads to a rejection of this element as an important factor. Of the 49 commodities for which the relevant data are available, the rate of growth in the fourth quarter of 1962 (over the same quarter in 1961) can be compared with the annual rate of growth for 1962 (over 1961) as shown in Table 4.

Table 4

Divergence Between the Rate of Growth in Output for 1962

(Full Year) and Fourth Quarter of 1962

over Same Periods in 1961

Category	Number of Commodities
Annual rate of growth more than l percentage point above rate	
of growth in 4th quarter	18
O to 1 percentage point above	9.
Annual rate of growth more than	
l percentage point below rate of growth in 4th quarter 0 to 1 percentage point below	16 6

Approved For Release 2000/04/17 : CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4 $_{\rm S-E-C-R-E-T}$

As shown in Table 4, there does not appear to have been a significant distortion in the pattern of growth in the fourth quarter of 1962 compared with that for the full year. Thus the computation of rates of growth for 1963 over 1962, as computed in Table 2, probably is not biased as a result of this effect. To carry out a more complete demonstration of the lack of a possible statistical aberration in changes in the rates of growth in the fourth quarter related to that of previous years, the above analysis should be carried back to 1960. Although such a statistical presentation is not undertaken, a visual inspection of patterns of growth for years before 1962 suggests no divergency from the pattern indicated in Table 4.

Weather conditions are a cyclical element that can dampen substantially the rate of growth in industrial activity over the period of one quarter. The extremely cold weather of the early months of 1963, for example, apparently was a contributing factor to the slowdown in the first quarter in industrial activity in Western and Eastern Europe and in the USSR.

An inspection of weather data for November and December of 1962 and 1963 does not suggest this element as a disturbing factor in levels of Soviet industrial output. Temperatures in November for both years were well above normal for the country as a whole; in December of both years temperatures were below normal, but colder in December 1962 than December 1963. In both years the magnitude of the temperature differential (compared with normal) was not enough to have the depressing effect on industrial output that occurred.

Analyst: 25X1A

Analyst:

A/U

Approved For Release 2000/04/17: CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4

Cantral becken

Control oncet

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

ing kang Pakketern F	CIA/RR CB 64-20	ilisasifin ati on	declassification
linte of longues		for number of Navier 230	S
	and a filtrate filtrate filtrate mass. The filtrate mass and the transfer mass and the second section of the secti		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Redigient	13ate	Kelminer
33	AD/RR	10 Mar 64	y ,,, ,
34, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DAD/RR	III. Na kaominina dia mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikambana mpikam	25X1A
83	<u></u>	H.	20/1/
213 - 230	O/DDI	11 Mar 64	
165	, St/P	16 Mar 64	10/-
166	OCR		18 mar 64
167	and the second of the second o	16 Mar 64	057/44
168 - 171		and the second s	25X1A
172			and the second of the second o
173			*
174 - 176			
177		and the second of the second o	25X1C
178 179		a de la companio del Companio de la Companio de la Companio del Companio de la Co	i vi
180		// // // // // // // // // // // // //	,
181		// · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
182		P	A CONTRACT OF THE STATE OF THE
183		28 Apr 64	
184 - 186		" y	
187	OB/HRG/CGS, 3F30, Hq.	16 Mar 64	
188 - 190	Filed_in St/P/C	16 Mar 64	
191- 195	Beceived from	Decordo Centro 18 Mais	25X1A
188, 191-19	5 See attached	memo 18 Maily	7 !
189	PSD/	10h 18 Maily	25X1A
65	hee'd in 54/8/	c 12 March 4	1-0
166 My M.	V. Miosmaki, National	been autics)	15/CF-11 may 14
o samo		ie slong via 19116	
190 01	DOT-	19 marly	1/
196-2024	Bee'd from Beco	ids Center 23 Marsy	25X1A
	<i>2</i> 1	23/206	
196	,060	84 26 Maily	25X1A
200-202	to the DAP	2 marby) OEV4.
30 10-00	TIPR IN WEIDER	17 apr 64	25X1A
198	Alal F	27 One 100	
	TIDA	211/1-110	±
1, 22, 230	Retail by O/DOI	25X1A 24 apr 64	
707-75 (Ann	roved For Refease 2000/04/17	: CIA-RDP79T01n03A001900	150001-4
166	4/1	29 June 64	25X1A
1 7 7 W	Control of the contro	and the second contract of the second of the	¥ 5

1,22,80 Approve Please 200010447: CHARDP79T01003450180015000125X1A

25X1A

48 Recd in 5HP/c 25 MWb4

79

48 R-C 9 Jul65

25X1A

SUBJECT: Distribution of Current Support Brief No. 64-20, Further Slowdown in Soviet Industrial Growth in the Last Quarter of 1963 --- March 1964 (SECRET)

Recipient

1	O/DDI, Room 7E32, Hdqtrs.
2 - 3	NIC
4 - 12	OCI Internal
13 - 15	ONE
16 - 21	St/CS/RR
22	O/DDI - Chester Cooper
23 - 31	25X1A
32	NSAL
33 - 230	ORR Distribution, St/A/Document Support Section, Room GH0915, Hdgtrs, (sent direct to St/A/DSS, 13 Mar 64).

(Distributed by OCD)

Copy No.

SECRET

Approved For Release 2000/04/17: CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4
St/A/DSS Distribution of Current Support Brief No. 64-20, Further Of Slowdown in Soviet Industrial Growth in the Last Quarter of 1963 --- March
1964 (SECRET)

Copy No.		Recipient	t. L.
	AD/DB	128-137, 156-159	DIA, DIAAQ-3, Arlington
32	ADARA		Hall Station, Blog. A
88	DAD/RR	164	St/P/A
34 35	Ch/E	20	
35 163	St/PR	h)	
36 - 40	D/A (1 each branch		
41 - 46	D/MS (1 each bran		
47 - 52	D/R (1 each branc		
53 - 60	D/M (1 each branch		
61 - 69	D/I (1 each branch	1)	
70 - 71	D/GG		
72 - 73	D/GC		
74	D/GC/X	124004 Ha	
75 - 80	RID/AN, Unit 4, I	Coom 1D-1004, 11d.	
81	St/FM		
82	Analysk/Branch		
83 82	GR/CR		
84	BR/CR		
85	FIB/SR/CR, Room	n 1G27, Hq.	
86	Library/CR		
87	IPI/CR		
88	VMR, A-18		
89	AD/OO		
90	Chief, FDD		
91	CD/00		
92	OCI/RP/PS, Room	m 7G15, Hq.	
93	DDI/CGS, Room	7F35, Hq.	
94	DDI/CGS/HR, Ro	om 3F30, Hq.	
95 - 97	OSI		25X1A
98			omp (ctc)
99 - 100	OTR/IS/IP, Room	n 532, Broyhill Bldg. (1	- OTR/SIC)
101			
102		u su - Callago lit Legi	ie McNair, Attn: Classified
102	Records Section	Rm. 26, Natil. War Col	lege Bldg., Wash., 25, D.C.
103 - 113	ACST/Army, Roc	m 1C460, Pentagon	
	Mantar	ONI. Room 5B059, Penias	gon
114 - 121			K.XXXXXXXXXXXXX
138 - 139	Has to Decretary	helps, IRR/D, Room 701,	Walker Johnson Building,
140 - 143		A	
		nunications Center, Room	7818, State Dept. Bldg.
144 - 155			
			Executive Office Building
160 - 161		Agency for Internation	onal Developing.
162			L. ULGEC I MAN TO THE TOTAL OF THE PARTY OF
100 162 204	Statistics and I	E4 120 May Office to 18t / 17/67	9 to 1603 Add 1900 45000 100 Aic down grading and
190 XXXXXXXX	npproved Center	186-2060104717". CIATROPT	downgrading and
CICKHXXXXXX	k Records Center	CECRFT	declassification

18 March 1964

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Dissemination Control Branch, DD/CR

FROM

: Chief, Publications Staff, ORR

SUBJECT

: Transmittal of Material

It is requested that the attached copies of CIA/RR CB 64-20, Further Slowdown in Soviet Industrial Growth in the Last Quarter of 1963, March 1964, Secret, be forwarded as follows:

> State, INR Communications Center, Room 7818, State Dept. Bldg. For Embassies in Berlin, Moscow, Bonn, Frankfurt, London, and Paris

> > 25X1A

Attachments: Copies #188, #191 - #195 of CB 64-20

cc: CGS/RB

The dissemination requested by this memorandum has been completed;

BY: DDB Date: 19772164 ..

6

SECRE

Approved For Release 2000/04/17: CIA-RDP79T01003A001900150001-4
14. 5102 Report Series CIA/RR CB 64-20

'itle Further Slowdown in Soviet Industrial Growth in the Last Quarter of 1963 (SECRET)

esponsible Analyst and Branch

A/U

25X1A

RECOMMENDED DISTRIBUTION TO STATE POSTS

Berlin, Germany Bucharest, Romania Budapest, Hungary Moscow, USSR Prague, Czechoslovakia Sofia, Bulgaria Warsaw, Poland

Belgrade, Yugoslavia

lurope

-loc

roject No.

Bern, Switzerland Bonn, Germany Brussels, Belgium Copenhagen, Denmark Frankfurt, Germany Geneva. Switzerland Helsinki, Finland The Hague, Netherlands Lisbon, Portugal London, England Luxembourg, Luxembourg Madrid. Spain Oslo. Norway Zaris, France Rome, Italy Stockholm, Sweden Vienna, Austria

'acific

Wellington, New Zealand Manila. Philippines Canberra. Australia Melbourne, Australia

Far East

Bangkok, Thailand
Djakarta, Indonesia
Hong Kong
Rangoon, Burma
Kuala Lumpur, Malaya
Saigon, Vietnam
Seoul, Korea
Singapore, British Malaya
Taipei, Formosa
Tokyo, Japan
Vientiane, Laos
Phnom Penh, Cambodia
Colombo, Ceylon

Near East & South Asia

Ankara, Turkey
Athens, Greece
Cairo, Egypt
Damascus, Syria
Kabul, Afghanistan
Karachi, Pakistan
New Delhi, India
Nicosia, Cyprus
Tehran, Iran
Baghdad, Iraq
Tel Aviv, Israel
Beirut, Lebanon
Amman, Jordon
Jidda, Saudi Arabia

Ottawa, Canada

ARA

Mexico
Guatemala
Panama
Brazillia, Brazil
Buenos Aires, Argentina
Bogota, Colombia
Santiago, Chile
La Paz, Bolivia
Montevideo, Uruguay
Caracas, Venezuela

Africa

Yaonde, Cameroun Leopoldville, Congo Addis Ababa, Ethopia Accra. Ghana Abidjan, Ivory Coast Nairobi, Kenya Monrovia, Liberia Tripoli, Libya Rabat, Morocco Lagos, Nigeria Mogadiscio, Somal Khartoum, Sudan Tunis. Tunisia Pretoria, South Africa Algiers, Algeria Cotonou, Dahomey Dakar, Senegal Bamako, Mali

Subject <u>C/A/RR CB 64</u>			5X1A
Analyst and Branch	of the Land Control of the Supplier of the Control of Control of the Control of t	NAME OF THE OWNER, WHEN	and the second of the second o
and the second of the second o	Date In	itial	and the state of t
SECURITY	16 mar 64	H3	This report does not contain
Unedited draft Edited draft Ther a. Text check b. Source check c. Releasable to: 25X1C Yes No Other	16 mar 64	NB NB	any controlled data. analyst to send source certification. (per 25X1A (See attached). Deletions for 25X1C lg 16, clebit analyst name. (cut carefully). Deletions for 25X1C Deletions for 25X1C Actific cover - omit all fetype cover - omit all fut title, classification. date, 4 copy number.
DISTRIBUTION 1 sent to AD/RR 2 sent to DDI 3 checked for release DL/PSD notified to Disseminate			lg 1, in the fortnote, "an" delete "the ORR" and add "an" (derived from an inoles). also delete the last 2 lines "See
Approved For Re	S-≅-C	-R-I	Group 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification "The OPP and add "Our", in the 12 pass, line 3, delete "The OPP" "The Sale and add Our", in the 12 pass, line 3, delete "The OPP" "The Sale and add Our", in the 12 pass, line 3, delete "The OPP" "The Sale and add Our", in the Pg 16, delete analysis name.
Approved For Ita	"AVER"		in the sake and add Our pg 16, delete analysts name.

Release to __ 2-7167 as above for

23 Jun 65 MB.

25X1C